

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,812	03/03/2004	Tsung-Hsi Yu	3167-181	1487
7	7590 06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC		NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
5205 Leesburg Suite 1404	Pike,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Church, VA 22041			2871	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A)X			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/790,812	YU, TSUNG-HS	31			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Nancy) Thanh-Nh					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended peri	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howev itcation. days, a reply within the statutory minir tory period will apply and will expire S II, by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
•)⊠ This action is non-final	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for fom	nal matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2,4-6,8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,7,9 and 10</u> is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from considera					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objecti	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the same of the	·	-·· · ·	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority december of the priority december of the priority december of the certified copies of application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been receivocuments have been receivocuments have been receivothe priority documents have all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nation a)).	al Stage			
See the attached detailed Office action	ioi a nacoi une ceruneu cop	nes not received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Proper No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	Notice of Informal Patent Application (Pother:	TO-152)			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5 currently read as, "The liquid crystal display of claim 1..." It appears it should have read as, "The liquid crystal display of claim 2..." since passivation film was not introduced in claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0097353 in view of Huang et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0160546 and Yarita et al U.S. Patent No. 6,411,353.

Referring to claims 1 and 8, Lee discloses a liquid crystal display comprising: a liquid crystal display panel (170); a bottom frame (160), supporting said liquid crystal display panel; a metal cover (180), boxing said bottom frame therein and forming an interior space to accommodate said liquid crystal display panel; a print circuit board

Application/Control Number: 10/790,812

Art Unit: 2871

(200), fixed on a lower surface of said bottom frame and connecting to said liquid crystal display panel by a flexible flat cable (175), [fig. 5].

Even though Lee lacks disclosure of the bottom frame is a plastic frame, it was conventional at the time to use plastic frame as the bottom frame to support the liquid crystal display panel, as evidenced by Huang et al, [fig. 2, ref. # 310b], and therefore had the benefits associated with being conventional, such as the benefit of being available and the benefit of being suitable for the intended purpose. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the bottom frame is a plastic frame for the benefit of being available and being suitable for the intended purpose.

Lee further lacks disclosure of and a conductive film, formed on a grounding pin, wherein the grounding pin is formed on a lower surface of printed circuit board, and another sidewall of said metal cover for transmitting segregated charges on said print circuit board through said metal cover to environment.

Yarita et al discloses a conductive film (MTP), formed on a grounding pin (GNDPT), wherein the grounding pin is formed on a lower surface of said print circuit board, and another sidewall of said metal cover (SHD), [fig. 1], for the benefit of being easy to electrically connect the grounding pattern of a flexible circuit board to the upper frame even if the width of the flexible circuit board becomes narrower along with the reduction of the frame border area, [col. 5, lines 10-15]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to formed on a grounding pin of said print circuit board and another sidewall of said metal

Art Unit: 2871

cover for the benefit of being easy to electrically connect the grounding pattern of a flexible circuit board to the upper frame even if the width of the flexible circuit board becomes narrower along with the reduction of the frame border area.

Referring to claim 2, Lee discloses a passivation film (85) taped on a lower surface of said print circuit board (90) for preventing short electric signals, [fig. 1].

Referring to claim 6, even though Lee lacks disclosure of the print circuit board connects to liquid crystal display panel through the flexible flat cable and attends with connecting devices such as tape automated bounding (TAB), chip on glass (COG), or chip on film (COF), it was conventional technique at the time to have the print circuit board connects to liquid crystal display panel through the flexible flat cable and attends with connecting devices such as tape automated bounding (TAB), as evidenced by Yarita et al, [col. 15, lines 58-61], and therefore had the benefits associated with being conventional, such as the benefit of being available and the benefit of being suitable for the intended purpose. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the print circuit board connects to liquid crystal display panel through the flexible flat cable and attends with connecting devices such as tape automated bounding (TAB) for the benefit of being available and the benefit of being suitable for the intended purpose.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Huang et al and Yarita et al, and further in view of Levanon U.S. Patent No. 6,855,441.

Referring to claim 4, Lee lacks disclosure of the conductive film is taped on the grounding pin and metal cover by gluing.

Referring to claim 5, Lee lacks disclosure of conductive film is a conductive tape with both surfaces gluey, in which one surface of said conductive tape is taped on the grounding pin of said print circuit board and the sidewall of said metal cover and the other surface is used to glue a passivation film on a lower surface of said print circuit board to form an electric shielding upon devices on said print circuit board.

It was conventional at the time to use conductive tape with the surface(s) gluey to glue element(s) together, as evidenced by Levanon, [fig. 3b, ref.# 42], and therefore had the benefits associated with being conventional, such as the benefit of being available and the benefit of being suitable for the intended purpose. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the conductive film is taped on the grounding pin and metal cover by gluing, or the conductive film is a conductive tape with both surfaces gluey, in which one surface of said conductive tape is taped on the grounding pin of said print circuit board and the sidewall of said metal cover and the other surface is used to glue a passivation film on a lower surface of said print circuit board for the benefit of being available and being suitable for the intended purpose.

Art Unit: 2871

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 7, and 9-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 11-16 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: None of prior art taught or disclosed a print circuit board assembled in a liquid

crystal display comprising a plurality of flexible flat cables, extending from an edge of

the print circuit board to a liquid crystal display panel; a grounding pin, formed on the

print circuit board, wherein the position/location of the grounding pin is such as formed

at opposite edges of printed circuit board without connecting flexible flat cable, extended

from an edge of printed circuit board to the outside; a passivation film, covering an

exposed surface of the print circuit board as an electric shielding; and a conductive film,

taped on both said grounding pin and a metal cover of the liquid crystal display to

transport segregated charges on the print circuit board to environment, and taped

around the print circuit board to fix said passivation film.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Lee U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0097353.

Huang et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0160546.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,812

Art Unit: 2871

Yarita et al U.S. Patent No. 6,411,353.

Levanon U.S. Patent No. 6,855,441.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F/9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 24, 2005

MY

DUNGT. NGUYEN

Page 7